

(This notice of shareholders voting results at the 176th Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders is an English translation of original Japanese notice. This translation is for your convenience only and Toshiba does not guarantee accuracy of this translation)

June 30, 2015

Toshiba Corporation

Notice of shareholders voting results  
at the 176th Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders

Resolutions were made at the 176th Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders (“the Meeting”) held on June 25, 2015. This is to give notice of shareholders voting results.

1. Subject for Resolution

Company Proposal (First Proposal)

First Proposal: Election of sixteen (16) Directors

The following sixteen (16) persons will be Director:

Masashi MUROMACHI  
Norio SASAKI  
Hisao TANAKA  
Hidejiro SHIMOMITSU  
Masahiko FUKAKUSHI  
Kiyoshi KOBAYASHI  
Toshio MASAKI  
Naoto NISHIDA  
Keizo MAEDA  
Fumiaki USHIO  
Makoto KUBO  
Seiya SHIMAOKA  
Hiroyuki ITAMI  
Ken SHIMANOUCHE  
Kiyomi SAITO (\*)  
Sakutaro TANINO

(\*)Ms. SAITO’s name on the Family Register is Kiyomi TAKEI

Shareholder Proposal (Second to Eighth Proposal)

- Second Proposal: Amendments to the Articles of Incorporation regarding exercise of voting rights at general meetings of shareholders
- Third Proposal: Amendments to the Articles of Incorporation regarding effective use of assets
- Fourth Proposal: Amendments to the Articles of Incorporation regarding purchase of own shares
- Fifth Proposal: Amendments to the Articles of Incorporation regarding individual disclosure of information on directors and executive officers
- Sixth Proposal: Amendments to the Articles of Incorporation regarding reconsideration of Nuclear Power Business
- Seventh Proposal: Amendments to the Articles of Incorporation regarding disclosure of information concerning employees who entered the Company from a ministry or agency of government or other public organizations
- Eighth Proposal: Amendments to the Articles of Incorporation regarding conditions of employment for temporary employees

2. Number of voting rights concerning the indication of “For”, “Against” or “Abstention” for each proposal; Requirements for approving the proposals; and Results of resolution

Proposal	For	Against	Abstention	*1	Approval requirements	Results of resolution	Ratio of “For”	Ratio of “Against”
<b>First Proposal</b>								
Masashi MUROMACHI	2,711,809	157,635	12,739	9,025	*2	Approved	93.80%	5.45%
Norio SASAKI	2,713,420	156,022	12,739	9,025	*2	Approved	93.85%	5.40%
Hisao TANAKA	2,712,966	155,950	13,265	9,025	*2	Approved	93.84%	5.39%
Hidejiro SHIMOMITSU	2,746,230	123,212	12,739	9,025	*2	Approved	94.99%	4.26%
Masahiko FUKAKUSHI	2,747,475	121,967	12,739	9,025	*2	Approved	95.03%	4.22%
Kiyoshi KOBAYASHI	2,744,026	125,416	12,739	9,025	*2	Approved	94.91%	4.34%
Toshio MASAKI	2,743,415	126,027	12,739	9,025	*2	Approved	94.89%	4.36%
Naoto NISHIDA	2,749,486	119,956	12,739	9,025	*2	Approved	95.10%	4.15%
Keizo MAEDA	2,604,222	265,220	12,739	9,025	*2	Approved	90.07%	9.17%
Fumiaki USHIO	2,749,173	120,269	12,739	9,025	*2	Approved	95.09%	4.16%
Makoto KUBO	2,568,203	300,711	13,265	9,025	*2	Approved	88.83%	10.40%
Seiya SHIMAOKA	2,647,155	222,286	12,739	9,025	*2	Approved	91.56%	7.69%

Hiroyuki ITAMI	2,793,912	77,696	10,578	9,025	*2	Approved	96.63%	2.69%
Ken SHIMANOUCI	2,735,777	135,826	10,578	9,025	*2	Approved	94.62%	4.70%
Kiyomi SAITO	2,791,161	80,447	10,578	9,025	*2	Approved	96.54%	2.78%
Sakutaro TANINO	2,753,991	117,613	10,578	9,025	*2	Approved	95.25%	4.07%
<b>Second Proposal</b>	180,759	2,693,432	13,876	9,025	*3	Rejected	6.24%	92.97%
<b>Third Proposal</b>	115,222	2,765,536	7,346	9,025	*3	Rejected	3.98%	95.46%
<b>Fourth Proposal</b>	122,018	2,758,728	7,346	9,025	*3	Rejected	4.21%	95.22%
<b>Fifth Proposal</b>	174,744	2,705,888	7,471	9,025	*3	Rejected	6.03%	93.40%
<b>Sixth Proposal</b>	118,605	2,671,788	97,692	9,025	*3	Rejected	4.09%	92.22%
<b>Seventh Proposal</b>	137,251	2,664,646	86,221	9,025	*3	Rejected	4.74%	91.97%
<b>Eighth Proposal</b>	115,684	2,753,448	18,980	9,025	*3	Rejected	3.99%	95.04%

- \*1: The number of voting rights of shareholders actually present at the Meeting whose indication for the relevant proposal could not be confirmed.
- \*2: The resolution for each proposal shall be adopted by a simple majority of the voting rights held by the shareholders present and voting at the Meeting (including postal and electronic voting). Quorum of such resolution for each proposal is one-third (1/3) or more of the total number of voting rights.
- \*3: The resolution for this proposal shall be adopted by a majority consisting of not less than two-thirds (2/3) of the voting rights held by the shareholders present and voting at the Meeting (including postal and electronic voting). Quorum of the resolution for these proposals is one-third (1/3) or more of the total number of voting rights.
- \*4: There are no boxes for “Abstain” on the voting right exercise form of the Company and the Company does not count “Abstain” voting at the Meeting. However, the Company counts “Abstain” voting only when certain shareholders, such as shareholders who diversely exercise voting rights, expressly indicate their intention to abstain.

3. Reason why a portion of the voting rights held by the shareholders present at the Meeting was not added to the number of voting rights

With the results of the exercise of voting rights through postal and electronic method prior to the date of the Meeting and a part of exercise of voting rights by proxy, it was evident that First Proposal was approved and that Second to Fifth Proposals were rejected in accordance with Companies Act. Therefore, voting rights held by the shareholders actually present at the Meeting, except as otherwise shareholders submit

their proxies to the Company, were not counted as voting rights of “For”, “Against” or “Abstention”.

However in the calculation of ratio of “For” / “Against”, the number of voting rights of shareholders actually present at the Meeting whose indication for the relevant proposal could not be confirmed is included in the denominator. The number of voting rights of shareholders actually present at the Meeting is calculated based on the number counted at the beginning of deliberation on proposals and the number of voting rights of shareholders who left the Meeting before then is not included.

###