

(This notice of shareholders voting results at the 173rd Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders is an English translation of original Japanese notice. This translation is for your convenience only and Toshiba does not guarantee accuracy of this translation)

June 27, 2012

Toshiba Corporation

Notice of shareholders voting results  
at the 173rd Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders

Resolutions were made at the 173rd Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders (“the Meeting”) held on June 22, 2012. This is to give notice of shareholders voting results.

1. Subject for Resolution

Company’s Proposals (First Proposal and Second Proposal)

First Proposal: Election of fourteen (14) Directors

The following fourteen (14) persons will be Director:

Atsutoshi NISHIDA  
Norio SASAKI  
Hidejiro SHIMOMITSU  
Hisao TANAKA  
Hideo KITAMURA  
Makoto KUBO  
Toshiharu WATANABE  
Fumio MURAOKA  
Hiroshi HORIOKA  
Takeo KOSUGI  
Shozo SAITO  
Hiroyuki ITAMI  
Ken SHIMANOUCI  
Kiyomi SAITO (\*)

(\*)Ms. SAITO’s name on the Family Register is Kiyomi TAKEI

Second Proposal: Renewal of Countermeasures to Large-Scale Acquisitions of Shares in the Company (Takeover Defense Measures)

Shareholder's Proposal (Third Proposal)

Third Proposal: Amendments to the Articles of Incorporation regarding exercise of voting rights at general meetings of shareholders

2. Number of voting rights concerning the indication of “for”, “against” or “abstention” for each proposal; Requirements for approving the proposals; and Results of resolution

| Proposal               | For       | Against   | Abstention | *1     | Approval requirements | Results of resolution | Ratio of “For” | Ratio of “Against” |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| <b>First Proposal</b>  |           |           |            |        |                       |                       |                |                    |
| Atsutoshi NISHIDA      | 2,772,566 | 47,982    | 1,071      | 21,585 | *2                    | Approved              | 97.52%         | 1.69%              |
| Norio SASAKI           | 2,769,127 | 51,419    | 1,071      | 21,585 | *2                    | Approved              | 97.39%         | 1.81%              |
| Hidejiro SHIMOMITSU    | 2,795,728 | 24,822    | 1,071      | 21,585 | *2                    | Approved              | 98.33%         | 0.87%              |
| Hisao TANAKA           | 2,807,737 | 12,813    | 1,071      | 21,585 | *2                    | Approved              | 98.75%         | 0.45%              |
| Hideo KITAMURA         | 2,795,726 | 24,824    | 1,071      | 21,585 | *2                    | Approved              | 98.33%         | 0.87%              |
| Makoto KUBO            | 2,795,721 | 24,829    | 1,071      | 21,585 | *2                    | Approved              | 98.33%         | 0.87%              |
| Toshiharu WATANABE     | 2,806,487 | 14,063    | 1,071      | 21,585 | *2                    | Approved              | 98.71%         | 0.49%              |
| Fumio MURAOKA          | 2,748,711 | 71,835    | 1,071      | 21,585 | *2                    | Approved              | 96.68%         | 2.53%              |
| Hiroshi HORIOKA        | 2,785,283 | 35,265    | 1,071      | 21,585 | *2                    | Approved              | 97.96%         | 1.24%              |
| Takeo KOSUGI           | 2,796,198 | 24,352    | 1,071      | 21,585 | *2                    | Approved              | 98.35%         | 0.86%              |
| Shozo SAITO            | 2,806,513 | 14,037    | 1,071      | 21,585 | *2                    | Approved              | 98.71%         | 0.49%              |
| Hiroyuki ITAMI         | 2,791,209 | 29,341    | 1,071      | 21,585 | *2                    | Approved              | 98.17%         | 1.03%              |
| Ken SHIMANOUCI         | 2,778,360 | 42,190    | 1,071      | 21,585 | *2                    | Approved              | 97.72%         | 1.48%              |
| Kiyomi SAITO           | 2,796,523 | 24,027    | 1,071      | 21,585 | *2                    | Approved              | 98.36%         | 0.85%              |
| <b>Second Proposal</b> | 2,147,815 | 674,855   | 1,075      | 21,585 | *3                    | Approved              | 75.49%         | 23.72%             |
| <b>Third Proposal</b>  | 604,038   | 2,218,325 | 1,098      | 21,585 | *4                    | Rejected              | 21.23%         | 77.97%             |

\*1: The number of voting rights of shareholders actually present at the meeting whose indication for the relevant proposal could not be confirmed.

\*2: The resolution for each proposal shall be adopted by a simple majority of the voting rights held by the shareholders present and voting at the Meeting (including postal and

electronic voting). Quorum of such resolution for each proposal is one-third (1/3) or more of the total number of voting rights.

\*3: The resolution for this proposal shall be adopted by a simple majority of the voting rights held by the shareholders present and voting at the Meeting (including postal and electronic voting).

\*4: The resolution for this proposal shall be adopted by a majority consisting of not less than two-thirds (2/3) of the voting rights held by the shareholders present and voting at the Meeting (including postal and electronic voting). Quorum of the resolution for this proposal is one-third (1/3) or more of the total number of voting rights.

\*5: There are no boxes for “Abstain” on the voting right exercise form of the Company and the Company does not count “Abstain” voting at the Meeting. However, the Company counts “Abstain” voting only when certain shareholders, such as shareholders who diversely exercise voting rights, expressly indicate their intention to abstain.

3. Reason why a portion of the voting rights held by the shareholders present at the Meeting was not added to the number of voting rights

With the results of the exercise of voting rights through postal and electronic method prior to the date of the meeting and a part of exercise of voting rights by proxy, it was evident that First Proposal and Second Proposal were approved and that Third Proposal was rejected in accordance with Companies Act. Therefore, voting rights held by the shareholders actually present at the Meeting, except as otherwise shareholders submit their proxies to the Company, were not counted as voting rights of “For”, “Against” or “Abstention”.

However in the calculation of ratio of For / Against, the number of voting rights of shareholders actually present at the meeting whose indication for the relevant proposal could not be confirmed is included in the denominator. The number of voting rights of shareholders actually present at the meeting is calculated based on the number counted at the beginning of deliberation on proposals and the number of voting rights of shareholders who left the meeting before then is not included.

###